



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | Э. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/600,894 06/20/2003 | | 06/20/2003 | Holger Listle | 10191/3186 | 5906 | |
| 26646 | 7590 | 06/02/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| KENYO! | | | NGUYEN, THU V | | | |
| ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3661 | 3661 | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED. 06/02/2004 | • | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/600,894 | LISTLE ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Thu Nguyen | 3661 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 M | <u>arch 2005</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| dec the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |
| PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac | tion Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 051705 | | | | | |



DETAILED ACTION

The response to the restriction requirement filed on March 7, 2005 has been entered. By this response, the invention group II (including claim 15) has been elected without traverse, accordingly, claim 15 is examined in this office action. Claim 7 belongs to the non-elected group, it is noted that claim 7 is much broader than the subject matter stated in claim 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyaki (US 2002/0130906) in view of Yokota et al (US 6,640,185) and further in view of Noble et al (US 2003/0085910) and Shmueli et al (US 2002/0145632).

As per claim 15, Miyaki teaches a driver information device comprising: a map display with special objects represented on the display by symbols (para 0003); a common indicator symbol in the map assigned to multiple symbols in one or a pre-selected radius of a location, a selection of the indicator symbol enabling a display of a list menu containing information about the specials objects (abstract; para 0044-0045). Miyaki does not explicitly disclose that the menu should be a selection menu. However, Yokota suggests displaying the special object

symbols in a selectable menu 120-122 (fig.12B), Noble teaches displaying the indicator symbol 104 (fig.2) at the same location on the map (fig.2) and Shmueli teaches displaying a selection menu 88-92 (fig.6) with a symbol 86 (fig.6) displayable at the same location on the display and embedded in the selection menu (fig.6). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display the menu of Miyaki as selectable menu as taught by Yokota and to display the menu embedding the symbol at the same location on the map as taught by the combined teaching of Noble and Shmueli in order to allow the user to obtain more information on an interested point of interest at the area the point of interest is located.

. Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument on claim 7, claim 7 does not explicitly disclose that the icons and the name list are visually related as asserted. Instead, claim 7 appears to disclose that the symbol is linked to the menu. Since Yokoda teaches displaying the symbol in the map and displaying selectable menu 120-122 (fig.12b) corresponding to the symbol 87 (fig.12B) (col.14, lines 11-21), the menu 120-122 (fig.12B) obviously link to the symbol 87 (fig.12B).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/600,894 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6967. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reguyenten

THU V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 17, 2005